



United States Indian Service,

Yakima Indian Agency,

~~September 7<sup>th</sup> 1884~~

Gen. Nelson A Miles

Commanding Department of Columbia

Vancouver Barracks W. T.

General \*

~~I am in the receipt of the answer of  
Captain McMurray, to statements made by me in  
my letter to you of the 18<sup>th</sup> of August last, respecting his  
visit to this Agency in July.~~

~~But for the false impression produced on the minds  
of the ignorant Indians, the whole subject of his visit  
would be unworthy of the slightest consideration, and  
would receive no further attention from me - I  
desire however to correct some of the mistakes, found  
in his letter of Aug 25<sup>th</sup>, - arising perhaps from having  
totally misapprehended his own position - Indeed,  
the whole tenor of his letter, shows that the gallant~~

Captain vastly overestimates himself, having entirely mistaken the object of his mission, (as stated in your letter to me of the 13<sup>th</sup> ~~of Aug~~ ultimo), and fancied himself invested with a far higher authority than his instructions warranted -

The Captain states that "no formal Council was appointed by me" <sup>(him)</sup>. Can he explain what he considers a "Council"? And what he calls the gathering at Roo-ti-ak-an's, near the Yakima Gap? - Also, <sup>Can he</sup> tell <sup>by him</sup> why the Indians were notified to assemble there to meet him? Or, if no Council was appointed by him, how does he explain his request <sup>sent</sup> to Mr Goodwin of Yakima, to notify the Indians that he could not see them at the date appointed, and the meeting must be postponed <sup>to another day named?</sup>? However the Captain may regard it, such a gathering is called a Council here. - The Indians so considered the meeting at Roo-ti-ak-an's - The News-paper <sup>reporters present then</sup>, called it a "Council" - the Yakima

3. Gen Miles

People all thought it so, and if not a "formal Council", it certainly had all the ear-marks, and was wonderfully like one. - At all events, when the Captain was at the Agency, he knew the gathering was to take place - he knew it was to be on the reservation, and that Reservation Indians were to be present, and take a part in it. - It seems to me - as stated in my letter to you of the 18<sup>th</sup> ultimo, that the ordinary courtesy, common among Gentlemen, to say nothing of Official Courtesy, should have prompted the Captain to let me know something of it.

~~2<sup>d</sup> Judging from the tenor of his letter, the Captain still seems to think a part of his mission was to investigate me. - He says, "I thought the General avoided me, " "partly from indifference, and partly, (as is common " "in Army inspections), to leave his subordinates unembarrassed, and free to exhibit what they pleased. I " "regret that I was mistaken" - Again, "Gen Milroy "~~

4 Gen Miles

"said there was nothing to conceal - He invited me to"  
"look about anywhere - The Superintendent, and "  
"others did so also, and I spent all my time while at "  
"Fort Simcoe, with the Agency Officials" - and again  
"I was not blind to the fact that Gen Milroy's man"  
"agreement, is on obsolete principles, <sup>in my opinion</sup> not at all in the"  
"interest of Modern Civilization" &c &c &c

Now when it is remembered, that Capt. McMurray  
had no shadow of right to be on the Reservation at  
all-further than as a traveller on the common road-  
that the Agent had the legal right to send him off  
the reservation at once, the above quotations from  
his letter, exhibit a degree of egotism, and impudence,  
almost incredible - No comments can do  
justice to them, and I leave them unanswered. \*

I have not the slightest doubt, but Capt. McMurray  
is entirely correct, when he says that, "Indians"  
in Kittitas, Klickitat, and elsewhere complained "

not worth the paper it is written on

+ He states that "General Wilcox was present when all that was important was said". If this was so why did he think it necessary soon afterwards to hold a three days Council on the Reservation at which General Wilcox was not present & who was not a member? Did he hold said three days Council to talk of unimportant matters? — In his talk to the Capt. N. Murray said but little to the crowd of anti Civilization Indians who followed him to my office — and in his talk he said nothing to them about his having come to investigate complaints of the Columbia River Indians — I was present & heard all he said <sup>to the Indian men</sup>. But he doubtless said this & much more at his Council where I who was present — It is plain General, that had you sent some officer of good sense & judgment like Mr. Donn instead of Capt. McMurray — to attend to what you desired him to do everything would have moved along harmoniously & I would have saved a vast amount of trouble.

— May 1st

5 Gen Miles

"of the Tyranny of the Agency Government". These same Indians, are the "dreamers" - They have "Complained", ever since the Department discouraged polygamy, gambling, and drunkenness - They have long sought a sympathizing ear, into which to pour their troubles, and in Captain McMurray, they seem to have found one - In my letter, I distinctly stated that my knowledge of the subjects discussed at the Council, (at the Yakima Gap), was derived from Indians, and I would not vouch for its correctness - I stated that I complained that an officer, not connected with the Indian service, had come to my Reservation, and held a council with the Indians under my charge, without my knowledge, - Captain McMurray does not attempt to answer this charge - unless his denial of having held a council, is so intended - and that is a quibble unworthy of notice - In conclusion General,

6 Gen miles

let me ask, how would the Military Authorities  
regard a similar interference, with the authority  
of an Army Officer in charge of a Military  
Post ~~or Dist.~~?

~~Very Respectfully~~

~~P. H. Milder.~~

~~U.S. Indian Agent~~

60

