Notes on Major Robert Selden Garnett, 1819-1861. By Olaf T. Hagen, Associate Research technician Region 4, National Park Service, San Francisco, Calif., June 15, 1939.

Major Robert Seldon (sic) Garnett who was in command of Fort Simcoe during its construction, 1856-58, had previous and ausbsquent service in the Armywhere he sought his career. Less generally known than many contemporaries who served with the military on the Pacific Coast, he occupied a more responsible position in this region than others towards whom local tradition often points with mingled pride. Like many other sympathizers with the South he resigned from the Army and joined the Confederacy at the outbreak of the War of Secession and was among the first to fall in defense of the "Lost Cause." eing especially interested in him as the builder of Fort Simcoe other periods of his life are touched upon anly inthis sketch.

Robert Seldon Garnett was born at 'Champlain', Essex County, Virginia,
December 16, 1819. After graduating from West Point in 1841 he served
with the Fourth Artillery on the Canadian border and as assistant instructor
in infantry tactics at the Military Academy. He was later (1845)
aide de campe to General Wool, and during the Mexican "ar to General
Taylor. Distinguishing himself in the Pattles of Palo Alto and Resaca de la
Palma he was successively breveted captain and major for gallant and
meritorious conduct in the battles of Montery and Buena Vista, respectively.
After participating in the occupation of Texas he was again

¹⁻Armistead, Gordon Churchill Jr. Dictionary of American Biography, Scribners New York, 1831) VII, 158.

Powell, William Henry, Comp. List of Officers of the United States Army from 1779 to 1900 (L.R. Hamersly and Company, ew York, 1900, 325.

Appleton's Cyclopedia of American Biography (Appleton, New York, 1888), II, 607.

detailed to the military Academy, where he served as commandant of the Corps of Cadets and instructor in Infantry Tactics. On Marcy, 27, 1855 he was commissioned major in the Ninth Infantry and was sent to command companies of that regiment in operation against Indians in the Military Department of the Pacific. Here he participated in the campaigns against the Puget Sound and Yakima Indians in 1856.

On May 19 Colonel Silas Casey, in command of military operathons in the Puget Sound region had reported that as far as the Indians west of the Cascade Mountains were concerned the contest is about ended.

Major Garnett with two companies of the Ninth Infantry was therefore ordered to proceed by way of the Cowlitz and the Columbia Rivers to join Colonel Wright who was in command of the regular troops sent against the Indians east of the Cascades on July 18 Wright had declared the war at an end, being satisfied that the Indians were convinced of the folly of continuing hostilities. He was therefore free to continue explorations with a value of determining the most eligible site for a military post as contemplated by Major Wool's conciliatory policy towards the Indians.

Although somewhat at loss to fix upon a position for

²⁻⁻ efore coming to the Pacific Coast in 1855 Major Garnett had been commissioned 2nd Lieut. 4th Artl by brevt, July 1,1841; 2nd lieut an 31, 1842; 1st lieut. Aug 18, 1846; ap. by brevet, Sept. 23, 1846; for gallant conduct at Monterey; Major by brevet eb. 23,1847 for gallant and meritorious conduct at Buena Vista; transferred to 7th nf. Aug 31, 1848 Capt. March 8,1851; transferred to 1st dragoons Mar. 3, 1855; Major 9th Inf. Mar. 27, 1855.

³⁻Wool to Asst. Adjt. Gen'l U.S.A. Lt. Col. L. Thomas, June 3,1856, in 34th Cong. 3 Sess. H. Ex. Doc. 36 (ser. 906),163.

⁴⁻Ibid-The use of this longer route, instead of the more direct one over the Nachess Pass has been pointed out by ool's critics.

Stevens, Hazard, the Life of Isaac Ingalls Stevens (2 vols. Houghton ifflin and Company, Boston and New York, 1900) 11, 195.

⁵⁻Wright to W.W. Mackall, A.A.G. Dept. of Pacific July 18,1856.
MS in Records of War Dept., Adjt. Genl's office. Dept. of Pacific in National Archives. ereafter referred to as AGO, National Archives.

permanent military post zafter meddig examined the country pretty thoroughly a point on the southern boundary of the Simcoe Valleywas found to have important advantages. On August 3 Wright had concluded that his position on the Toppnish Creek was the most desirable one for a station for the winter.

The building of Fort Simcoe was no small task under the circumstances. The site selected was a out sixty miles from The Dalles where was located the nearestmilitary post from which supplies could be obtained. Detween Fort Dalles and Fort Simcoe communication was by pack trail, and work was at once begun on a wagon road which was passable to the Army's 10 six mule wagons before the last of September. Since the route was impracticable for wagons for the five months of the year beginning Nov. 1, little besides provisions could be transported duringthe fall of 1856. For the building of the post therefore the troops were dependant on pine timber which was easily accessible. Consequently the first buildings were hewn pine logs

⁵ Ibid-Splawn, Andrew Jackson, Ka-mi-akin; The Tast Hero of the skimas. (Kilham Stationary and Printing Company, Portland, Or., 1917), 63.

⁸⁻Splawn, Op. cit., 63.

⁹⁻Ibid; 36 Cong., 1 Sess., Sen Ex Doc. 52 (ser. 1035), 264.

^{10-&}quot;right to Mackall, Aug. 17,1856; same to same, May 15, 1857 Ago., National Archives.

Pplan of Fort Simcoe prepared in the winter of 1856 or the spring of 1856 indicates that the log quarters erected during the first fall were to form a part of the permanent post. Except for the outlying block houses each building was so situated that it would form a part of a regular quadrangle around which the rest of the buildings were planned. In completing the post Major Garnett wanted to add to the comforts of the men whose life on the frontier were none to good at its best. For the a commodation of the officers frame quatters with such convenience as the thought practicable were contemplated. In completing these, however, he encountered obstacles more difficult than the elements. When the quartermaster s Department refused or reduced his requisitions for lime, firebrick and paint, he hastened "with some temper to relieve his official character from aspersions or implied censures." "ithout expectation of "changing any action in the case," Without expectation of changing any action in the case, but to protect himself against the supposition that 80 by silencehe had admitted the force or soundness of the reasons given for not granting the requisition, he explained at length the proposed use of Garnett finally appealed over the heads of the materials requested. officials in charge of the department of the Pacific and requested the "Wecretary of War that he... cause instructions to be conveyed through the proper departments to the Seputy Quartermaster, serving in the Department of the Pacific, to have this post supplied with a sufficiency of common paint to paint the quarters here necessary for the shelter and occupancy of the Officers of this command."

¹¹⁻Garnett to Mackall, July 16,185%. AGO., National Archives.

¹²⁻Same to same, Aug. 18, 1857, "armett to Lt. Col. Thos. Swoards, Deputy Guartermaster General, San Francisco, Calif. Aug 14, 1857. Aco., National Archives.

¹³⁻Garnett to Col. S. Cooper, Adjt. Gen'l U.S.A. Washington D.C. Nov. 4, 1857. AGO National Archives.

He regretted bothering the Secretary about such a petty detail, but felt compelled to do so, having been refused paint and been ordered to use white wask which , having been refused a sufficiency of line for plastering, would have to be applied to wood and as such is known to be unfit for the habitations of persons who desire to preserve those habits of neatness and cleanliness common among the class of people in civil life from whom the Officers of our Army are supposed to come. Claiming credit for himself for having exercised the greatest care and economy in expenditure of public funds and challenging the chosest examination on this score, he added that he considered it equally his duty to see that the small portion of th Army entrusted to my care and command be provided for accommand in a manner becoming our government and people.

"The life of our army on the frontier is sufficiently uninviting under the most favorable circumstances and I cannot be made to believe that on the plea of a small economy, the Honorable Secretary of War, the government our of our people, desire to add to its inconveniences and privations by condemning it to live in habitations scarcely better finished than the negro quarters of the more humane and respectable portion of Louisiana sugar plantations."

Delay in constructing the buildings which were not completed until 14 the spring of 1858 was attributed to the failure of the Quartermaster Department in supplying funds and materials requisitioned. To their illiberable spirit in interpreting the regulations would also have to be chagged the inhospitality off the post in not providing quarters to visiting officials whose duties brought them "unhappinly... to this 15 distant region, wrote Garnett.

¹⁴⁻³⁶ Cong. 1 Sess. Sen Ex Doc. 52 (ser. 1036), 264.

15-Garnett to Swords, Aug. 14, 1857. AGO National Archives.

Despite the fact that the Fort Simcoe buildings were completed on a scale less ambitious than Garnett had wished them they were well planned. Both in construction and design they were superior to buildings ordinarly found on a military post of this type. Officers of the Indian Service, to whom the post was transferred in 1859, reported some of them delegant structures. of superior construction, affording comforts and conveniences seldom found in Indian country. From the four officers quarters still standing it is evident that Major Garnett was successful in erecting veautiful and substantial buildings in spite of the adverse conditions he faced. Even if the parsimonious policy of the quartermaster's Department has been justified by the abandonment of the post by the military in 1859 it was intended as a permanent station when its construction was carried on by arnett and his efforts tomake the post attractive and lasting seem warranted.

Major Garnett appears to have been one of the staunchest supporters of Wool's policy whereby Fort Simcoe was to serve not "for the immediate protect on of the whites but to keep the Indians in awe," and to learn their feelings "and to check and chan e these when they became inimical," 18 Pursing this policy which had been ingugurated in the region by Wolonel Wright's peace negotiations in the spring of 1856, Garnett refused to turn over to civil authorities Indians accused of participating in the murders that had left to the war. He insisted that in view of Wright's understanding with them such action might precipitate a war from which little was to be gained. He suggested that the same ends could be accomplished by banishing the leaders of the hostiles from their favorite haunts and

¹⁶⁻Report of Commissioner of Indians Affairs for 1859, 36 Cong. 1 Sess H Ex Doc. 188(ser. 1023) 187

17-Report of Commissioner of Indian Affairs for 1860, p. 179

18-MacReb 1, t255+85600, Jan 1,1857, in 34 Cong. 3 sess. H Ex. Doc. 76

leaving their punishment to the Indians themselves in accordance with 19 polices said to have been pursued by the Hudson's Bay Company. was warforced byovert acts of violence on the part of the Indians, then the Army should be prepared to act promptly and vigorously.

Indian depredations and attacks on Colonel Steptoe's command and on miners early in 1858 caused a renewal of hostilities. Carnett, who had but recently seen Fort Simcoe completed w@@dddddddd entered upon the campaign enthusiastically. While principal campaign was to be under Colonel Wright operating from Fort Walla Walla Major Garnett was directed to proceed against the Indians or th and west of the Columbia. His advice that a column as large as that proposed under Wright's command would have difficulty in engaging the Indians in combat does not appear to have been 20 heeded. Nor does it appear that Colonel Wright or any of his superiors lent an ear to Ganddd Garnett's proposals about how the two columns might 21 copperate.

Preparations for the coming campaign gave arnett an opportunity to repeat urgently him requisitions for shoes and flour not yet granted 22 by the "uartermasters Department." inally on August 5th and 9th respectively, Post Orders No. 17 and 25 were issued covering instructions 23 for the majority of the contingencies that might arise in the march. On August B, after the encounter of one detachment of his command with the Indians, "armett found it his "painful duty" to report the death of Second Lieutenant Jess (sic) K. Allen, who had fallen at 2 o'clock that morning in a successful surprise attackupon an Indian camp.

¹⁹⁻armett to Adjt. 9 infy & AAAG Fort Dalles, July 16,1857.
Garnett to W. W. Mackall, Aug. 15, 1857. Same to same eb.3,1858.
Ago National Archives.

²⁰⁻Same to same, July 7,1858 AGO National Archives. 21-Same to Same, July 17 1858 .AGO National Archives. 22-To mackall, July 28,1858 same to same, July28,1858. AGO Nat'l A. 23-To Major Mackall Aug 10,1858. AGO National Archives.

in this Wsad affair" reports of which caused him "to fear that he (Allen) was shot accidentally by one of his own men in the darkness of the hour." "21 men, about 50 women and children, 70 head of horses & 15 head of cattle besides considerable other Indian property w00 were captured." 24 Three of the men being identified as participants in the attack on the miners were shot. A fourth was killed by the soldiers when he tried to escape.

From the Camp of the Yakima Expedition on the Wenatcha River Garnett reported on August 30 that five more Indians had been captured by a detachment under Lieutenants Crook, McKall and Turner. These were also shot . 'n September 23 when the expedition returned to Fort Simcoe after 45 days in the field, the main column had marched 505 miles, Garnett felt that the "successes on the Yakima and the Wenatcha though Small have repaid us however for the labor. In all ten Indians had been captured and shot. One officer, Lieutenant Allen was killed and a private "Liebe of Co. 'C' 9th Infy struggled behind the command and was 5000 found dead the next morning."27. Before starting homeward on September 15 news of Colonel Wright's decisive victory in the Battle of Four Lakes had been received. It so far surpassed any of the achievements of Garnett's expedition that a ter referring to the small successes mentioned that he probably found little comfort in writing:

"As predicted to you in my letter of the 27th of July the main body of the hostiles on this side of the Columbia took refuge in that vast region of country laying between the Blackweet indians and the Columbia."

--24--to Mackall, Aug. 15,1858 AGO National Arvhives. 25-10 Mackall Aug. 30,1858, AGO, National Arvhives. 26-to Mackall, Sept. 24, 1858, AGO National Archives.

27-Ibid

28-Ibid

29 Ibid.

Any dosposted dismay that might have been caused by Wright's more br lliant military achievements were not relieved by Garnett's personal affairs. On September 16 Captain H.M. Judah, Commanding Fort Simcoe during Garnett's absence, wrote dolefully,

"Supposing that Maj. G. was within 80 miles of the post and at the suggestion of Dr. Heger I dispatched an Indian express tohim from the latter on Monday 13th announcing to him the probable fatal illness of Mrs. G.

"I am pained to add that although still alive Mrs. G. is only so through the operation of stimulants that Dr. has no hope of her recovery although there is always hope while life remains."

That this news hastened the return of Garnett's expedition does not seem probable. He had at first planned to be back at Fort Simcoe by 31 September 20k but in a dispatch of Sept. 7 indicated that pursuit of the Indians to the colville River would postpone his return until September 30. he abandonment of the propowed visit to Fort Sim Colville was attributed to lack of supplies and shoes and the supposition that Wright having defeated the Indians would visit the region which was more properly in his field. Since the homeward march was commenced on September 14, from a point so far away from Fort Simcoe that it required nine or ten days for the column that averaged seventeen mile a day to reach the latter place, it is not probable that Judah's messenger sent on the thirteenth reached grant before the return was started.

Information found about Major armett's personal affairs is too sketchy to permit conclusions. It is assumed, however, that Mrs. G

30-Judah to Major (Mackall) September 16,1858 AGo National Archives. Copy attached

31--Judah to Mackall, Sept. 16,1858, Garnett to Judah, Sept. 7,1858.

AGO National Archives.

32-Garnett to Mackall, Sept.24, 1858, AGO, National Arvhives.

referred to in the quoted dispatch from Captain Judah was Mary Nielson (sie) of wew York City, when Major Garnett had married the previous year."

Major Garnett's stay at Fort Simcoe after the return of the Yakima expedition was very brief. As late as October 13,1858 letters dated fort Simcoe were signed by him as commanding officer of the post, but on the first of November Captain J.J. Archer of the Ninth Infantry was commanding the post.

Leaving Fort Simcoe in the fall of 1858Major arnett went to Europe where he traveled on sick leave. At the beginning of the War between the States he returned to the United States and on April 30,1861, 36 resigned his commission in the United States Army. He was appointed Ad utant General with the rank of Colonel to organize the Virginia troops and on June 6 was commissioned Brigadier General in com and of the forces in the western part of the state. Confronted by General Mc-Clellan, whose forces outnumberedhim six to one, with part of his force surrounded, he saved his army by a most masterly retreat, but was he malf killed after the sharp engagement which occurred when Union Troops overtook, the rear guard with which he was covering the retreat, at Carrick's

³³⁻Armistead, D.A.B. VII, 159 It is not entirely clear what is meant by "the previous year" as used in this article which state that Garnett remained on duty until 1858-when he went to Europe on leave and that he had married the preceding year, but it was presumably 1857.

Dr. Hegar the post surgeon who stated at Fort Simcoe during the Yakima Depedition's absence, in his 1858 report dated Fort Simcoe, ebruary 1859 stated that illness "occurred in the family of an officer that resulted in the death of themother and child." in 36th Cong. 1 ess Sen x Doc. 52(ser. 1035 . 265.

334-Garnett to MacKall, ct. 15,1858, AG National Archives. Capt. J. J. Archer to Capt. A. Pleasanton A.A.A. Gen'l Dept. of Oregon Letters . Received Dept. of Oregon, Archives, San Francisco Presidio.

35-Armistead DA.B. VIII 159 Appleton's yclopedia, II, 607.

36-Powell, Op cit. 325.

37-Appleton scyclopedia, II, 607.

11^F 36

Fort on the Cheat River on July 3,1861.

ecause of Major a nett's opposition to the surrender of the whom Governor Stevens insisted be turned over to the civil authorities for punishment it might be expected that he would have shared General Wool's unpopularity in the erritory. His suport of the conciliatory policy toward the indians seems to have been forgiven, however, because of his vigorous stand in war of 1858. "is believe that in the attack on the miners in June, 1858, "the Indians had no just provocation," admission that "the public sentiment of the country renders it necessary that we should at once go through with some such expedition, suggested by General Clarke, and his conduct of the Takima Expedition redeemed him in the eyes of the citizens of the erritory. Local historians who have severely criticized Wool's actions have credited Major Garnett with "conscpicious gallantry" in the wars of 1856 and 1858 both east and west of the Cascade mountqins. "While in this territory the then Major Garnett was one of the most popular and esteemed officers on duty in the Indian war,

³⁶⁻Armistead D.A.B. VII 159; Appleton's Cyclopedia (II 607), says that his army was routed.

^{39 -} Garnett to Mackall June 26,1858 A TO National Archives.

⁴⁰⁻Same to same, July 7,1858. AGo National Archives.

⁴⁰⁰⁰⁰⁰⁰⁰⁰ Evans, Elwood, comp. History of the Pa ific Northwest; Oregon and Washington 2 vols: (North Pacific History Publishing Company Portland Oregon 1889) 1,593, n.2

Among the sources that should have been consulted but not available to me in proc preparing this sketch should bementioned J.M. Garnett Genealogy of the Mercer-Garnett Family(1910) edited by Armistead.