IMe Cutcheon, John Owg

DAHLCO 38% E - WAN

In the next few minutes I want to tell you the story about ten acres which you own in the heart of Seattle, how you came to own it, and what is liable to happen to it in the near future unless we are wide awake to what is going on.

In 1861 Seattle was a village. There was a struggle going on in the Territorial Legislature as to whether the University should be located in King County or at Boisfort in Lewis County. Seattle was decided upon because Messrs. Arthur Denny, Edward Lander, and Charles Terry gave ten acres in Seattle for a university site. The memory of these patriotic men should be enshrined in the murals soon to be painted in the Legislative halls of the State Capitol, because the land they gave to you and men and our children is now worth about fifty million dollars. These far-sighted pioneers would turn over in their graves if we should, by our ignorance and stupidity turn this property over to private exploitation.

I will describe the land in terms that can be understood by anyone who has ever been in Seattle.

In the heart of downtown Seattle in the area approximately enclosed by Third Avenue on the west and Sixth Avenue on the east, by Union Street on the north and Seneca Street on the south, lies this ten-acre tract, known as the "University Tract," or often referred to as the "Metropolitan Tract," because it is leased from the State of Washington by The Metropolitan Building Company. On this tract of about ten acres are located the Olympic Hotel, the Metropolitan Theatre the White-Henry-Stuart, cobb., Douglas and Skinner Buildings Magnin's exclusive Dress Shop, Littler's Men's Store and dozens of leading businesses and others of Seattle's finest commercial structures. The lease of the Metropolitan

Building Company will expire in 1954, at which time, unless the lease is renewed, the lands and buildings will revert back to the State of Washington for the use and benefit of the University. The question that is pressing ever closer, and which must soon be decided by the Legislature is, what is the best solution for the handling of this tract, as far as the people of this State are concerned. It is an immensely valuable piece of property, the development of which is most important to the City of Seattle and the earnings from which the University will derive great benefits.

In 1904 the State of Washington leased this acreage to

James A. Moore, who later assigned the lease to the

Metropolitan Building Company. The company built the buildings
which I have mentioned.

This lease will expire November 1, 1954. The fixed of the period 1907 to 1937 rental received by the State is as follows:

1907 to 1912......\$15,000.00 per year

1912 to 1922.....\$40,000.00 " "

1922 - 1932.....\$80,000.00 " "

1952 - 1942.....\$100,000.00 " "

1942 - 1954......\$140,000.00 " "

This rental seems low but it was fixed at such a figure as would allow the Metropolitan Building Company to make a profit and also amortize the cost of the buildings, they constructed. That is, repay themselves for their construction costs.

Leases can be changed and renewed at any time even prior to their expiration.



On numerous occasions efforts have been made by the Metropolitan Building Company to renew this lease. In fact, an effort was made to open the door to such a renewal in the last session of the Legislature, a move which I vigorously opposed. It was defeated.

Have the Board of Regents the power to renew this lease either before or after its expiration?

No. Due to previous efforts to renew the lease, the Legislature passed a law in 1923 forbidding the Board of Regents to sell, lease, release or make any other disposition of, or extend or modify the present lease, until authorized or empowered to do so by Statute enacted by the Legislature.

Has the Company asked for a renewal recently?

Yes. In 1946 they made a proposition that was rejected.

You may ask, "Do I favor a renewal of the Lease?"

No--and for this reason. A lease is a contract and this
one should be allowed to expire, without any hard feelings on

either side. The people of the State of Washington will then own this vastly valuable property in the heart of downtown and can decide what they want to do with it.

I favor a University Management definitely after 1954.

The property is so strategically located that its value cannot depreciate, but on the contrary will constantly increase. The compelling and clinching argument in favor of a State operation comes from the increase in revenue which the State will receive from a University Management over a private management. This will be money in the pockets of every tax payer in the State.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29



and the Olympic Hotel Corp each

The Metropolitan Building Company pays 38% of its net income to the Federal Government in income taxes. The University, on the other hand, would be entirely exempt from Federal taxes, used for educational purposes.

From 1940 to 1945 the Metropolitan Building Company paid \$1,748,000.00 in Federal income taxes. These taxes would be saved for education under a University Management.

At this point I would like to read to you part of a most interesting report of the Board of Regents, which appears on page 20 of the 1947 Legislative Interim Report: It is as follows:

N Quote

To the Legislative Interim Committee on the Metropolitan Lease.

## Gentlemen:

- The policy of future dealing with the valuable properties comprising the Metropolitan Tract, is largely controlled by the incidence of federal taxation. From January 31, 1929, to June 30, 1945, federal income taxes consumed 24 million dollars of the net income derived by the Metropolitan Building Company from the operation of these properties.....
- 6. The Board is of the opinion that it is out of the question to consider either a sale of the property or a new lease at a fixed rental such as that now held by the Metropolitan Building Company ....

Respectfully submitted,

JOSEPH DRUMHELLER, THOMAS BALMER, DAVE BECK Committee

End of Quote

13

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

6

This report was concurred in by the entire Board of Regents. I thoroughly agree with that position.

How would the University operate this property?

By the Board of Regents through the medium of a capable and experienced staff, or by a competent Real Estate Management Company, who would receive a fee for their services.

You may ask, "What revenues will the buildings bring in under a University Management?

That, of course, is somewhat problematical, but I would estimate between one and two million dollars per year. The savings in Federal income taxes alone will amount to over a three quarters of a half million dollars per year.

Representative Shadbolt, who is at present Chairman of a sub-committee on the Metropolitan Tract, has recently come forth with a proposition for the State to pay the Metropolitan Building Company ten million dollars for the six and a half year unexpired term of their lease, and also that the Company should participate in the earnings and also be granted a twenty-five year extension. He thinks it unfair for the Metropolitan Building Company to compete with other concerns for a new lease. // Such a proposal is a fraud upon the taxpayer. He has posed one of the hottest issues that will come before the Legislature in many a year. Millions are at stake that may be decided on the turn of a legislator. Great pressure will be brought to bear to smother all opposition, but as a candidate for governor and a lawyer for the people, I feel it my duty to speak out. Shadbolt's proposal will cost the taxpayers of the State of Washington over ten million dollars in the next twenty years. It is time we knew the truth about this Metropolitan issue. Mr. Shadbolt says

DAHLCO 38% E - WAI

in his report that a University Management is wrong. Why is it wrong, Mr. Shadbolt, to save the taxpayers this vast sum. Why can't we deal at arm's length and hire the best management possible for these properties even though the metropolitan Building Company should lose its stranglehold? Real estate experts say it presents no problem.

The obligations of the State to the Metropolitan Building Company will end in November 1954, because their contract will then die and we will have the buildings. They are struggling to avoid this and renew this contract by every conceivable device. The ghost of this renewal continually arises to plague us. They want to give this lease eternal life.

Neither the Metropolitan Building Company nor the owners of the Olympic Hotel should be allowed to dictate the actions of the Legislature. I feellvery strongly on this point. I will veto any law that renews that lease for private exploitation and profit.

You have a right to ask any candidate to state what he would do with a law renewing the lease for the Metropolitan Building Company.

The argument that the present sub-tenants must know where they are going to be, and with whom they are going to have to do business, when their present leases expire in 1954, is a smoke screen used to bring pressure for an extension of the present master lease. Every lawyer knows, that if the State has the legal right at this time to do what it is urged to do that is, extend the lease of the Metropolitan Building Company beyond 1954, it also has the legal right at this time to contract with sub-tenants for any period from 1954 to

back 25 m 26 DAHLCO 38% E - MON

(3)

1974 or longer. Our hands are not in chains now, but they bearing will be if Mr. Shadbolt gets his way.

A law should be passed at the next session providing for a University Management of this tract after 1954.

Almost anyone would be very happy to have the operation of the District, but it belongs to the University and is for the children of this State who will be educated there. No one should be allowed to make a profit or be cut in on Federal income tax savings except the University of Washington.

If we renew this lease we are robbing the youth of today and the youth of tomorrow. The G.I.'s who are struggling for an education. At the same time we are laying heavier burdens on the already overburdened taxpayer. And all for what?

To gratify the desires of a great corporation that has already made millions from the property.

The pioneers of Washington gave this bountiful gift to us as a sacred heritage for our children. //

In the name of every hard-pressed taxpayer, in the name of every teacher, in the name of every father and mother, in the name of every student and child, in the name of generations yet unborn, we must guard and preserve this gift of inestimable value which the Pioneers have left us.

This is Senata Joseph McCutation thank you and good night.

8 9